

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,712	12/14/2005	Francis Delaporte	026032-4933	2879
22428 7590 97/09/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			MAI, TIEN HUNG	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	FLECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/536,712 DELAPORTE ERANCIS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TIEN MAI 2836 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

### DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's response of 05/12/2008 has been entered in the record and considered. Upon entering amendment, claims 1-3, 5 and 7 have been amended; specification and claim objections have been withdrawn.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the last line of claim
rincludes the word "maintain this closure". Examiner has reason to believe that
Applicant intends to mean "maintain closure of the contact" instead of "maintain this closure", and the examination of this claim will be based on this interpretation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirato (US 6,518,764, "Shirato") in view of Glidden et al. (US 6,493,204, "Glidden")
- 5. In re claim 1, Shirato discloses relay driving apparatus; the apparatus (fig. 6) comprising: a control unit (31) configured to control an electromagnetic relay (15, 16), the control unit generates a pulse-width modulation (PWM) signal according to a voltage

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712

Art Unit: 2836

supply; at least one contact (SW) controlled by the control unit, the control unit is configured to control the at least one contact according to the voltage supply (col. 11. lines 21-40); the control unit is configured to provide a contacting voltage ("movable time" in fig. 7A) to the relay, the contacting voltage is sufficient to close the at least one contact (col. 11. lines 21-40); the control unit is configured to provide according to the voltage supply, a maintaining voltage ("A/B" slots in fig. 7A) sufficient to maintain closure of the at least one contact (fig. 7A). Shirato does not explicitly disclose the control unit having a calculator for changing a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage. Shirato rather discloses the control unit configured to change a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage (on/off in fig. 7A). Glidden discloses a control unit (microprocessor, 35) generates a PWM voltage having a constant frequency and variable duty cycle and the frequency of the PWM voltage is selected to be compatible with the response time of a solenoid (40) (col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a microprocessor since today they are cheap, small and easily available to be used as a circuit part to control the relay. Also since the microprocessor is able to conduct arithmetic operations, it reads on the calculator in the claim.

6. In re claim 2, Shirato discloses a control unit (31) for an electromagnetic relay coupled to a voltage source (terminal 7 couples to voltage source) comprising: a control unit (31); the control unit inherently has a power supply-adapting module for adapting the power supply of the relay, the control unit is configured to control the power supply-

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712

Art Unit: 2836

adapting module, the control unit generates a pulse-width modulation (PWM) signal according to a voltage supply; and at least one contact (15, 16) controlled by the control unit; the control unit is configured to provide a contacting voltage ("movable time" in fig. 7A) sufficient to close the contact of the relay (col. 11, lines 21-40), the control unit is modulated according to the voltage supply, and the control unit is configured to provide a maintaining voltage ("A/B" slots in fig. 7A) sufficient to maintain closure of the at least one contact. Shirato does not explicitly disclose the control unit having a calculator for changing a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage. Shirato rather discloses the control unit configured to change a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage (on/off in fig. 7A). Glidden discloses a control unit (microprocessor, 35) generates a PWM voltage having a constant frequency and variable duty cycle and the frequency of the PWM voltage is selected to be compatible with the response time of a solenoid (40) (col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a microprocessor since today they are cheap, small and easily available to be used as a circuit part to control the relay. Also, since a microprocessor is able to conduct arithmetic operations, it reads on the calculator in the claim.

 In re claim 3, Shirato's control unit inherently has a controller that control the duration of operation of the power supply adapting module during closure of the contact (col. 11, lines 21-32) ("movable time" duration). Application/Control Number: 10/536,712 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

8. In re claims 4, 8 and 9, Shirato discloses that the control unit comprises a module for detecting micro power cuts (col. 13, lines 25-43). Shirato discloses that the control unit monitors the output voltage of the transformer 1, and if it is detected that that output voltage of the transformer drops, the control unit supplies the base of the transistor (Q5) with the pulse signal in which the logical level is set to "Hi" for the time period corresponding to the movable time. Therefore, the movable iron piece 15 can be avoided from being separated from the relay coil due to the voltage drop of the commercial power source.

- 9. In re claim 5, an oscillator generating pulses is inherent in Shirato's control unit since Shirato's control unit generates the PWM signal to control a transistor (Q5), the oscillator generated pulses (PWM signal) provides the contacting voltage and the maintaining voltage. The control unit generates the contracting voltage such that the duration of the contacting voltage is long enough to move armature of the relay and stay in contact stage and also provides the maintaining voltage such that on/off the duration of the maintaining voltage are long enough to keep armature in contact (on state) (col. 11, lines 33-46).
- 10. In re claim 6, Shirato discloses the limitations as discussed above. Shirato does not explicitly disclose a memory configured to store characteristics of the relay. Glidden discloses PWM control of a solenoid wherein the microprocessor includes a memory to store instructions (col. 1, lines 38-54). Using computer control is well known in the art. Computer software improves functionality and enables the system to perform multiple tasks. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712

Art Unit: 2836

invention was made to modify Shirato's control unit and add a memory, such as taught by Glidden, in order to improve functionality and perform multiple tasks.

In re claim 7, Shirato discloses relay driving apparatus; the apparatus (fig. 6) comprising: a control command unit (31) which inherently has a pulse duration modulator, the pulse duration modulator controlled by the control command unit, the control command unit is programmed for modulating a power supply of an electromagnetic relay (15, 16), the control command unit modulates the power supply according to a voltage supply, the control command unit configured to provide a contacting voltage ("movable time" in fig. 7A), the contacting voltage sufficient to close the contact of the relay, and according to the voltage supply to provide a maintaining voltage ("A/B" slots in fig. 7A), the maintaining voltage sufficient to maintain closure of the contact (col. 11, lines 21-40). Shirato does not explicitly disclose a calculator for changing a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage. Shirato rather discloses the control unit configured to change a cyclic ratio value of the pulse duration modulator for supplying the maintaining voltage (on/off in fig. 7A). Glidden discloses a control unit (microprocessor, 35) generates a PWM voltage having a constant frequency and variable duty cycle and the frequency of the PWM voltage is selected to be compatible with the response time of a solenoid (40) (col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a microprocessor since today they are cheap, small and easily available to be used as a circuit part to control the relay.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712

Art Unit: 2836

Also, since a microprocessor is able to conduct arithmetic operations, it reads on the calculator in the claim.

#### Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. Applicant argues that "Glidden does not identically disclose a memory configured to store characteristics of the relay; instead, Glidden discloses a memory, which stores an ABS algorithm". The examiner respectfully disagrees because the ABS algorithm inherently includes (directly or indirectly) the relay parameters, such as "contacting current" necessary to activate the armature, since otherwise the ABS algorithm would not be able to provide efficient control of the relay.

#### Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/536,712 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TIEN MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-1277.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Michael J Sherry/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

/Tien Mai/

Examiner, Art Unit 2836